Some properties of Kaehler submanifolds with recurrent tensor fields

Irina I. Bodrenko [1]

Abstract

The properties of Kaehler submanifolds with recurrent the second fundamental form in spaces of constant holomorphic sectional curvature are being studied in this article.

arXiv:1001.5223v1 [math.DG] 28 Jan 2010

Introduction

Let M^{2m+2l} be a Kaehler manifold of complex dimension $m+l$ ($m \geq 1, l \geq 1$) with almost complex structure J and a Riemannian metric $\widetilde{g}$, $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection coordinated with $\widetilde{g}$, $\widetilde{R}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor of manifold M^{2m+2l}. Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold of complex dimension m in M^{2m+2l} with induced Riemannian metric g. The restriction J to F^{2m} defines induced almost complex structure on F^{2m}, which we will denote by the same symbol J. Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection coordinated with g, D be the normal connection, b be the second fundamental form, $R^{\perp}$ be the tensor of normal curvature of submanifold F^{2m}, $\overline{\nabla} = \nabla \oplus D$ be the connection of van der Waerden — Bortolotti. b is called *parallel* if $\overline{\nabla} b \equiv 0$. A tensor of normal curvature $R^{\perp}$ is called *parallel* if $\overline{\nabla} R^{\perp} \equiv 0$.

According to the definition of recurrent tensor field (see [1] , note 8), nonzero form $b \neq 0$ is called *recurrent* if there exists 1-form μ on F^{2m} such that $\overline{\nabla} b = \mu \otimes b$.

Theorem 1 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold of complex dimension m in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of complex dimension $m+l$ and constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. If F^{2m} has recurrent the second fundamental form b then the tensor of normal curvature $R^{\perp} \neq 0$ is parallel.*

It is known (see [1] , note 8, theorem 3), that for a Riemannian manifold M with recurrent tensor of Riemannian curvature $\widetilde{R}$ and irreducible narrowed linear group of holonomy, it is necessary that the tensor of Riemannian curvature $\widetilde{R}$ be parallel (i.e. $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{R} \equiv 0$) with the condition $\dim M \geq 3$. A Riemannian manifold M is called *locally symmetric* if $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{R} \equiv 0$.

Theorem 2 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold of complex dimension m in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of complex dimension $m+l$ and constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. If F^{2m} has recurrent the second fundamental form b then F^{2m} is locally symmetric submanifold.*

[1] ©Irina I. Bodrenko, associate professor, Department of Mathematics,
Volgograd State University, University Prospekt 100, Volgograd, 400062, RUSSIA.
E.-mail: bodrenko@mail.ru http://www.bodrenko.com http://www.bodrenko.org

1 Main notations and formulas.

Let M^{n+p} be $(n+p)$-dimensional $(n \geq 2, p \geq 2)$ smooth Riemannian manifold, $\widetilde{g}$ be a Riemannian metric on M^{n+p}, $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection coordinated with $\widetilde{g}$, F^n be n-dimensional smooth submanifold in M^{n+p}, g be the induced Riemannian metric on F^n, ∇ be the Riemannian connection on F^n coordinated with g, TF^n and $T^{\perp}F^n$ be tangent and normal bundles on F^n, respectively, R and R_1 be the tensors of Riemannian and Ricci curvature of connection ∇, respectively, b be the second fundamental form F^n, D be the normal connection, $R^{\perp}$ be the tensor of normal curvature, $\overline{\nabla}$ be the connection of Van der Waerden — Bortolotti.

The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten have, respectively, the following form [2] :

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + b(X,Y), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \tag{1.2}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, tangent to F^n, and vector field ξ normal to F^n.

The equations of Gauss, Peterson — Codacci and Ricci have, respectively, the following form [2] :

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = R(X,Y,Z,W) + \widetilde{g}(b(X,Z),b(Y,W)) - \widetilde{g}(b(X,W),b(Y,Z)), \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z)^{\perp} = (\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y b)(X,Z), \tag{1.4}$$

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = R^{\perp}(X,Y,\xi,\eta) - g([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y), \tag{1.5}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W, tangent to F^n, and vector fields ξ, η, normal to F^n.

For any vector field ξ normal to F^n, we denote as A_ξ the second fundamental tensor relatively to ξ. For A_ξ the relation holds

$$\widetilde{g}(b(X,Y),\xi) = \widetilde{g}(A_\xi X, Y), \tag{1.6}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, tangent to F^n.

A normal vector field ξ is called *nondegenerate* if $\det A_\xi \neq 0$.

Covariant derivatives $\overline{\nabla} b$, $(\overline{\nabla} A)_\xi$ and $\overline{\nabla} R^{\perp}$, are defined by the following equalities, respectively ([2]):

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z) = D_X(b(Y,Z)) - b(\nabla_X Y, Z) - b(Y, \nabla_X Z), \tag{1.7}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y = \nabla_X (A_\xi Y) - A_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - A_{D_X \xi} Y, \tag{1.8}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X R^{\perp})(Y,Z)\xi = D_X(R^{\perp}(Y,Z)\xi) - R^{\perp}(\nabla_X Y, Z)\xi - R^{\perp}(Y, \nabla_X Z)\xi - R^{\perp}(Y,Z) D_X \xi, \tag{1.9}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, tangent to F^n, and vector field ξ normal to F^n.

Let indices, in this article, take the following values: $i, j, k, s, t = 1, \ldots, n$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \ldots, p$. We will use the Einstein rule.

Let x be an arbitrary point F^n, $T_x F^n$ and $T_x^{\perp} F^n$ be the tangent and normal spaces F^n at point x, respectively, $U(x)$ be some neighborhood of point x, $(u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ be local

coordinates on F^n in $U(x)$, $\{\partial/\partial u^i\}$ be a local basis in TF^n, $\{n_{\alpha|}\}$ be a field of bases of normal vectors in $T^\perp F^n$ in $U(x)$. We may always choose the basis $\{n_{\alpha|}\}$ orthonormalized and assume that $\widetilde{g}(n_{\alpha|}, n_{\beta|}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker symbol. We introduce the following designations:

$$g_{ij} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right), \quad b_{ij} = b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = b^\alpha_{ij} n_{\alpha|}, \quad \Gamma_{ij,k} = g\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}\right),$$

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = g^{kt}\Gamma_{ij,t}, \quad \nabla_i b^\alpha_{jk} = \frac{\partial b^\alpha_{jk}}{\partial u^i} - \Gamma^t_{ij} b^\alpha_{tk} - \Gamma^t_{ik} b^\alpha_{jt}, \quad \Gamma^\perp_{\alpha\beta|i} = \widetilde{g}\left(n_{\alpha|}, \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}} n_{\beta|}\right),$$

$$\Gamma^{\perp\alpha}_{\beta|i} = \delta^{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma^\perp_{\gamma\beta|i}, \quad \Gamma^\perp_{\alpha\beta|i} = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma^{\perp\gamma}_{\beta|i}, \quad \Gamma^\perp_{\alpha\beta|i} + \Gamma^\perp_{\beta\alpha|i} = 0, \quad \overline{\nabla}_i b^\alpha_{jk} = \left(\nabla_i b^\alpha_{jk} + \Gamma^{\perp\alpha}_{\beta|i} b^\beta_{jk}\right),$$

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}} b\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}\right) = \overline{\nabla}_i b^\alpha_{jk} n_{\alpha|}, \qquad b_{\alpha|ik} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} b^\beta_{ik}, \qquad a^j_{\alpha|i} = b_{\alpha|ik} g^{kj},$$

$$\nabla_i a^k_{\alpha|j} = \frac{\partial a^k_{\alpha|j}}{\partial u^i} - \Gamma^t_{ij} a^k_{\alpha|t} + \Gamma^k_{it} a^t_{\alpha|j}, \qquad a^j_{\alpha|i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = A_{n_{\alpha|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}\right),$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_i a^k_{\alpha|j} = \left(\nabla_i a^k_{\alpha|j} - \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} a^k_{\beta|j}\right), \qquad \left(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}} A\right)_{n_{\alpha|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = \overline{\nabla}_i a^k_{\alpha|j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k},$$

where $\|g^{kt}\|$ and $\|\delta^{\alpha\beta}\|$ are inverse matrixes to $\|g_{kt}\|$ and $\|\delta_{\alpha\beta}\|$, respectively.

We assume that a Riemannian manifold M^{n+p} is almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J (see [3] , chapter 6, section 6.1). Then M^{n+p} has even dimension: $n+p = 2(m+l)$, where a number $m+l$ is called *complex dimension* of M^{n+p}; the Riemannian metric $\widetilde{g}$ is almost Hermitian, i.e. for any vector fields $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$, tangent to M^{n+p}, the following condition holds:

$$\widetilde{g}(J\widetilde{X}, J\widetilde{Y}) = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}). \tag{1.10}$$

Almost Hermitian manifold M^{n+p} is called *Kaehler manifold* ([3]) if almost complex structure J is parallel, i.e. for any vector fields $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$, tangent to M^{n+p}, the following condition holds:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}} J\widetilde{Y} = J\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y}. \tag{1.11}$$

A submanifold F^n of a Kaehler manifold M^{n+p} is called *Kaehler submanifold* if for any vector field $X \in TF^n$, vector field $JX \in TF^n$. F^n is Kaehler manifold relative to induced almost complex structure J and induced almost Hermitian metric g (see [3] , chapter 6, par. 6.7). Kaehler submanifold F^n in Kaehler manifold M^{n+p}, has even dimension $n = 2m$ and codimension $p = 2l$. Number m is called *complex dimension,* and number l is called *complex codimension* of Kaehler submanifold F^n.

We denote by $M^{2m+2l}(c)$, a Kaehler manifold of complex dimension $m + l$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. The tensor of Riemannian curvature $\widetilde{R}$ of space $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ complies with the formula [1] :

$$\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})\widetilde{Z} = \frac{c}{4}\left(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})\widetilde{X} - \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Z})\widetilde{Y} + \widetilde{g}(J\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})J\widetilde{X} - \widetilde{g}(J\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Z})J\widetilde{Y} + 2\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{X}, J\widetilde{Y})J\widetilde{Z}\right), \tag{1.12}$$

for any vector fields $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}$, tangent to $M^{2m+2l}(c)$.

2 The properties of covariant derivative $\overline{\nabla}$.

Lemma 1 . *Let F^n be a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold M^{n+p}. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X, Y) = \widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X, Y), \xi) \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in TF^n, \quad \forall \xi \in T^\perp F^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We will find the expressions of the left and the right parts of the equality (2.1), in local coordinates. We assume

$$Z = Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad X = X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \quad Y = Y^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}, \quad \xi = \xi^\alpha n_{\alpha|}. \tag{2.2}$$

We have:

$$\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X, Y) = Z^i X^j Y^k \xi^\alpha g_{sk} \overline{\nabla}_i a^s_{\alpha|j} = Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha g_{sk} \nabla_i a^s_{\alpha|j} - \xi^\alpha g_{sk} \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} a^s_{\beta|j}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \nabla_i (g_{sk} a^s_{\alpha|j}) - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} g_{sk} a^s_{\beta|j}\right) = Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \nabla_i b_{\alpha|jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} b_{\beta|jk}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \nabla_i (\delta_{\alpha\beta} b^\beta_{jk}) - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} \delta_{\beta\gamma} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) = Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_i b^\beta_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\alpha|i} \delta_{\beta\gamma} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_i b^\beta_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp}_{\gamma\alpha|i} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(\overline{\nabla}_i b^\beta_{jk} - \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\gamma|i} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp}_{\gamma\alpha|i} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\nabla}_i b^\beta_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\perp\beta}_{\gamma|i} b^\gamma_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp}_{\gamma\alpha|i} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) =$$

$$= Z^i X^j Y^k \left(\xi^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\nabla}_i b^\beta_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp}_{\alpha\gamma|i} b^\gamma_{jk} - \xi^\alpha \Gamma^{\perp}_{\gamma\alpha|i} b^\gamma_{jk}\right) = Z^i X^j Y^k \delta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \overline{\nabla}_i b^\beta_{jk} =$$

$$= \widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X, Y), \xi).$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 2 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold M^{2m+2l}. Then for any $X \in TF^{2m}$ and for any $\xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}$ the following equality holds:*

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X A\right)_{J\xi} = J\left(\overline{\nabla}_X A\right)_\xi \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. From (1.1), because of (1.11), we obtain the following equalities (see , for example, [3] , chapter 6, section 6.1, lemma 6. 26):

$$\nabla_X JY = J\nabla_X Y, \quad Jb(X, Y) = b(X, JY), \qquad \forall X, Y \in TF^{2m}. \tag{2.4}$$

From (1.2) we have:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X J\xi = -A_{J\xi} X + D_X J\xi, \quad J\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = J(-A_\xi X + D_X \xi).$$

Hence, because of (1.11), we obtain:

$$-A_{J\xi}X + D_X J\xi = J(-A_\xi X + D_X \xi).$$

Therefore,

$$-A_{J\xi}X + JA_\xi X = JD_X\xi - D_X J\xi.$$

Since F^{2m} is a Kaehler submanifold, then, from here, we have

$$A_{J\xi}X = JA_\xi X, \quad D_X(J\xi) = JD_X\xi, \qquad \forall X, Y \in TF^{2m}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (1.7) we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_{J\xi}Y = \nabla_X(A_{J\xi}Y) - A_{J\xi}(\nabla_X Y) - A_{D_X(J\xi)}Y.$$

Hence, using (2.4) and (2.5), we have:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_{J\xi}Y = \nabla_X J(A_\xi Y) - JA_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - A_{J(D_X\xi)}Y =$$

$$= J\nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - JA_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - JA_{D_X\xi}Y = J(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y.$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 3 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Then for any $X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}$ and for any $\xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}$ the following equalities hold:*

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}b)(X,Y) = J\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,Y)\right), \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}A)_\xi = -J(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi, \tag{2.7}$$

$$JA_\xi = -A_\xi J, \tag{2.8}$$

$$J(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi = -(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi J. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. 1. Because of (1.12), the equation (1.4) takes the following form:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z) = (\overline{\nabla}_Y b)(X,Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}. \tag{2.10}$$

Using (2.10), from (1.7) we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}b)(X,Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X b)(JZ,Y) = D_X(b(JZ,Y)) - b(\nabla_X(JZ),Y) - b(JZ,\nabla_X Y).$$

Hence, using (2.4) and (2.5), we have:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}b)(X,Y) = D_X(J(b(Z,Y))) - b(J\nabla_X Z,Y) - b(JZ,\nabla_X Y) =$$

$$= J(D_X(b(Z,Y))) - J(b(\nabla_X Z,Y)) - J(b(Z,\nabla_X Y)) =$$

$$= J(D_X(b(Z,Y)) - b(\nabla_X Z, Y) - b(Z, \nabla_X Y)) = J\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,Y)\right).$$

The equality (2.6) is proved.

2. Using (2.6), from (2.1) we obtain:

$$\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}A)_\xi X, Y) = \widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}b)(X,Y),\xi) = \widetilde{g}(J((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,Y)),\xi).$$

Hence, because of (1.10) and equality $J^2 = -I$, we have:

$$\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}A)_\xi X, Y) = -\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,Y), J\xi) = -\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_{J\xi} X, Y) = -\widetilde{g}(J((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X), Y).$$

From here we get (2.7).

3. From (1.6), using (2.4), we obtain:

$$\widetilde{g}(JA_\xi X, Y) = -\widetilde{g}(A_\xi X, JY) = -\widetilde{g}(b(X,JY),\xi) = -\widetilde{g}(b(JX,Y),\xi) = -\widetilde{g}(A_\xi JX, Y).$$

Thus,

$$\widetilde{g}(JA_\xi X, Y) = -\widetilde{g}(A_\xi JX, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}.$$

The derived equality is equivalent to (2.8).

4. From (1.8), using (2.4) and (2.8), for any $X, Y \in TF^{2m}$ and for any $\xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}$, we have:

$$J\left((\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y\right) = J\left(\nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - A_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - A_{D_X\xi} Y\right) =$$

$$= \nabla_X J(A_\xi Y) + A_\xi J(\nabla_X Y) + A_{D_X\xi}(JY) =$$

$$= -\nabla_X(A_\xi JY) + A_\xi(\nabla_X JY) + A_{D_X\xi}(JY) = -(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi(JY).$$

Thus,

$$J\left((\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y\right) = -(\overline{\nabla}_X A)_\xi(JY), \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}.$$

The obtained equality is equivalent to (2.9). Lemma is proved.

Lemma 4 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold M^{2m+2l}. Then the following equality holds*

$$\overline{\nabla}_Z\left(\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi\right) = 0 \qquad \forall X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. By the definition of covariant derivative $\overline{\nabla}$, we have:

$$\overline{\nabla}_Z\left(\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi\right) =$$

$$= D_Z\left(\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi\right) - \widetilde{g}(\nabla_Z X, JY)J\xi - \widetilde{g}(X, \nabla_Z(JY))J\xi - \widetilde{g}(X,JY)D_Z(J\xi).$$

We transform the right part of the last equality, writing it in local coordinates and using the designations (2.2):

$$\left(\frac{\partial\left(g_{kl}X^k(JY)^l(J\xi)^\tau\right)}{\partial u^i} + \Gamma^{\perp\tau}_{\sigma|i} g_{kl} X^k (JY)^l (J\xi)^\sigma\right) Z^i n_\tau -$$

$$-g_{kl}\left(\frac{\partial X^k}{\partial u^i}+\Gamma^k_{im}X^m\right)(JY)^l(J\xi)^\tau Z^i n_\tau - g_{lk}\left(\frac{\partial (JY)^l}{\partial u^i}+\Gamma^l_{im}(JY)^m\right)X^k(J\xi)^\tau Z^i n_\tau -$$

$$-g_{kl}X^k(JY)^l\left(\frac{\partial (J\xi)^\tau}{\partial u^i}+\Gamma^{\perp\tau}_{\sigma|i}(J\xi)^\sigma\right)Z^i n_\tau =$$

$$=\left(\frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial u^i}X^k(JY)^l(J\xi)^\tau - g_{kl}\Gamma^k_{im}X^m(JY)^l(J\xi)^\tau - g_{lk}\Gamma^l_{im}X^k(JY)^m(J\xi)^\tau\right)Z^i n_\tau =$$

$$=\left(\frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial u^i}-g_{ml}\Gamma^m_{ik}-g_{mk}\Gamma^m_{il}\right)X^k(JY)^l(J\xi)^\tau Z^i n_\tau = 0.$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 5 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Then the following equality holds*

$$R^\perp(X,Y)\xi = \frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi + b(X,A_\xi Y) - b(Y,A_\xi X),$$

$$\forall X,Y\in TF^{2m},\quad \forall \xi\in T^\perp F^{2m}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Because of (1.12), we have:

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{R}(X,Y)\xi,\eta) = \frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY)\widetilde{g}(J\xi,\eta).$$

Then the equation (1.5) takes the form:

$$R^\perp(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY)\widetilde{g}(J\xi,\eta) + \widetilde{g}([A_\xi,A_\eta]X,Y).$$

We transform the second term in the right part of the obtained equality, using self-adjointness of operator A_ξ:

$$\widetilde{g}([A_\xi,A_\eta]X,Y) = \widetilde{g}((A_\xi A_\eta - A_\eta A_\xi)X,Y) = \widetilde{g}(A_\xi(A_\eta X),Y) - \widetilde{g}(A_\eta(A_\xi X),Y) =$$

$$= \widetilde{g}(A_\eta X,A_\xi Y) - \widetilde{g}(A_\xi X,A_\eta Y) = \widetilde{g}(b(A_\xi Y,X),\eta) - \widetilde{g}(b(A_\xi X,Y),\eta).$$

Then for any $\eta\in T^\perp F^{2m}$ we have:

$$R^\perp(X,Y,\xi,\eta) \equiv \widetilde{g}(R^\perp(X,Y)\xi,\eta) =$$

$$= \widetilde{g}(\frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi,\eta) + \widetilde{g}(b(A_\xi Y,X),\eta) - \widetilde{g}(b(A_\xi X,Y),\eta).$$

From here we obtain the equality (2.12). Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Then the following equality holds*

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi =$$

$$= (\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X, A_\xi Y) + b(X, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi Y) - (\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(Y, A_\xi X) - b(Y, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X),$$
$$\forall X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^\perp F^{2m}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. From formula (1.9), using (2.12), we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi = D_Z\left(\frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi + b(X,A_\xi Y) - b(Y,A_\xi X)\right) -$$

$$-\left(\frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(\nabla_Z X,JY)J\xi + b(\nabla_Z X,A_\xi Y) - b(Y,A_\xi(\nabla_Z X))\right) -$$

$$-\left(\frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,J(\nabla_Z Y))J\xi + b(X,A_\xi(\nabla_Z Y)) - b(\nabla_Z Y,A_\xi X)\right) -$$

$$-\left(\frac{c}{2}\widetilde{g}(X,JY))J(D_Z\xi) + b(X,A_{D_Z\xi}Y) - b(Y,A_{D_Z\xi}X)\right) =$$

$$= \frac{c}{2}\Bigg(D_Z(\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi) - \widetilde{g}(\nabla_Z X,JY)J\xi - \widetilde{g}(X,J(\nabla_Z Y))J\xi - \widetilde{g}(X,JY)J(D_Z\xi)\Bigg) +$$

$$+D_Z(b(X,A_\xi Y)) - D_Z(b(Y,A_\xi X)) - b(\nabla_Z X,A_\xi Y) + b(Y,A_\xi(\nabla_Z X)) -$$

$$-b(X,A_\xi(\nabla_Z Y)) + b(\nabla_Z Y,A_\xi X) - b(X,A_{D_Z\xi}Y) + b(Y,A_{D_Z\xi}X).$$

Hence, using (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi =$$

$$= \frac{c}{2}\overline{\nabla}_Z(\widetilde{g}(X,JY)J\xi) + D_Z(b(X,A_\xi Y)) - D_Z(b(Y,A_\xi X)) - b(\nabla_Z X,A_\xi Y) +$$

$$+b(Y,A_\xi(\nabla_Z X)) - b(X,A_\xi(\nabla_Z Y)) + b(\nabla_Z Y,A_\xi X) - b(X,A_{D_Z\xi}Y) + b(Y,A_{D_Z\xi}X).$$

Therefore, because of (2.11), we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi = \Bigg(D_Z(b(X,A_\xi Y)) - b(\nabla_Z X,A_\xi Y) - b(X,A_\xi(\nabla_Z Y)) - b(X,A_{D_Z\xi}Y)\Bigg) -$$

$$-\Bigg(D_Z(b(Y,A_\xi X)) - b(\nabla_Z Y,A_\xi X) - b(Y,A_\xi(\nabla_Z X)) - b(Y,A_{D_Z\xi}X)\Bigg).$$

Hence, using (1.7), we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi = \Bigg((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,A_\xi Y) + b(X,\nabla_Z(A_\xi Y)) - b(X,A_\xi(\nabla_Z Y)) - b(X,A_{D_Z\xi}Y)\Bigg) -$$

$$-\Big((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(Y, A_\xi X) + b(Y, \nabla_Z(A_\xi X)) - b(Y, A_\xi(\nabla_Z X)) - b(Y, A_{D_Z\xi} X)\Big).$$

Now, using (1.8), we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi =$$

$$= \Big((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X, A_\xi Y) + b(X, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi Y)\Big) - \Big((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(Y, A_\xi X) + b(Y, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X)\Big).$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 7 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Then the following equality holds*

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}([(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y) + \widetilde{g}([A_\xi, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta]X, Y),$$

$$\forall X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in T^\perp F^{2m}. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Because of (2.13), we have:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y,\xi,\eta) \equiv \widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y)\xi, \eta\right) = \widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X, A_\xi Y), \eta\right) -$$

$$-\widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(Y, A_\xi X), \eta\right) + \widetilde{g}\left(b(X, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi Y), \eta\right) - \widetilde{g}\left(b(Y, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X), \eta\right).$$

In the derived equality, we transform the first and the second terms using (2.1), the third and the fourth using (1.6):

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta X, A_\xi Y\right) - \widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta Y, A_\xi X\right) +$$

$$+\widetilde{g}\left(A_\eta X, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi Y)\right) - \widetilde{g}\left(A_\eta Y, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X)\right).$$

Hence, because of self-adjointness of operators A_ξ and $(\overline{\nabla}A)_\xi$, we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}\left(A_\xi(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta X, Y\right) - \widetilde{g}\left(Y, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta A_\xi X\right) +$$

$$+\widetilde{g}\left((\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi A_\eta X, Y)\right) - \widetilde{g}\left(Y, A_\eta(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi X)\right) =$$

$$= \widetilde{g}\left([A_\xi, (\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\eta]X, Y\right) + \widetilde{g}\left([(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y)\right).$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 8 . *Let F^{2m} be a Kaehler submanifold in a Kaehler manifold $M^{2m+2l}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Then the following equality holds*

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ} R^\perp)(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = (\overline{\nabla}_Z R^\perp)(X,Y,J\xi,\eta) - 2\widetilde{g}([(\overline{\nabla}_Z A)_{J\xi}, A_\eta]X, Y),$$

$$\forall X, Y, Z \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in T^\perp F^{2m}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. From (2.14) we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}R^{\perp})(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}([(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}A)_{\xi}, A_{\eta}]X,Y) + \widetilde{g}([A_{\xi}, (\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}A)_{\eta}]X,Y).$$

Hence, using (2.7), we have:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}R^{\perp})(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = \widetilde{g}([-J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\xi}, A_{\eta}]X,Y) + \widetilde{g}([A_{\xi}, -J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}]X,Y).$$

In the derived equality, we transform the second term using (2.8) and (2.9):

$$[A_{\xi}, J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}] = A_{\xi}J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta} - J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}A_{\xi} =$$
$$= -JA_{\xi}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta} + (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}JA_{\xi} = -[JA_{\xi}, (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}].$$

Therefore,

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}R^{\perp})(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = -\widetilde{g}([J(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\xi}, A_{\eta}]X,Y) + \widetilde{g}([JA_{\xi}, (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}]X,Y).$$

Hence, because of (2.3) and (2.5), and using (2.14), we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{JZ}R^{\perp})(X,Y,\xi,\eta) = -\widetilde{g}([(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{J\xi}, A_{\eta}]X,Y) + \widetilde{g}([A_{J\xi}, (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{\eta}]X,Y) =$$
$$= (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}R^{\perp})(X,Y,J\xi,\eta) - 2\widetilde{g}([(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}A)_{J\xi}, A_{\eta}]X,Y).$$

Lemma is proved.

3 Proofs of theorems 1, 2.

Proof theorem 1.

Let for some 1-form μ on F^{2m}, the following condition holds

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{X}b)(Y,Z) = \mu(X)b(Y,Z) \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in TF^{2m}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then for any vector field $\xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}$, we have:

$$\widetilde{g}(\left(\overline{\nabla}_{X}b\right)(Y,Z),\xi) = \widetilde{g}(\mu(X)b(Y,Z),\xi).$$

Hence, using (2.1) and (1.6), we obtain:

$$\widetilde{g}(\left(\overline{\nabla}_{X}A\right)_{\xi}Y,Z) = \widetilde{g}(\mu(X)A_{\xi}Y,Z) \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}.$$

Thus, the condition (3.1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{X}A\right)_{\xi} = \mu(X)A_{\xi}, \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2) we obtain the equality:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{JX}A\right)_{\xi} = \mu(JX)A_{\xi} \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, from (3.2), because of (2.7), we have:

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{JX}A\right)_{\xi} = -J\left(\mu(X)A_{\xi}\right), \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain:

$$\mu(JX)A_{\xi} = -J\left(\mu(X)A_{\xi}\right), \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}.$$

Hence, for any $Y \in TF^{2m}$, we have:

$$\mu(JX)A_{\xi}Y = -\mu(X)J\left(A_{\xi}Y\right), \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.5), we obtain:

$$\mu(JX)\widetilde{g}(A_{\xi}Y, A_{\xi}Y) = -\mu(X)\widetilde{g}(J\left(A_{\xi}Y\right), A_{\xi}Y) = 0,$$

$$\forall X, Y \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $b \neq 0$ then there exists nondegenerate vector field $\xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}$, and from (3.6) we come to the equality:

$$\mu(X) = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}.$$

Then 1-form $\mu \equiv 0$ and, therefore,

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{X}A\right)_{\xi} = 0, \quad \forall X \in TF^{2m}, \quad \forall \xi \in T^{\perp}F^{2m}. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, because of (2.14), we obtain the conclusion of the theorem.

Proof of theorem 2.
Form (1.3) we obtain:

$$\nabla_{W}R(X,Y,Z,V) = \widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{W}b)(X,V), b(Y,Z)) + \widetilde{g}(b(X,V), (\overline{\nabla}_{W}b)(Y,Z)) -$$

$$-\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{W}b)(X,Z), b(Y,V)) - \widetilde{g}(b(X,Z), (\overline{\nabla}_{W}b)(Y,V)) \quad \forall X,Y,Z,V,W \in TF^{2m}.$$

Therefore, because of (3.7), $\nabla R \equiv 0$. Theorem is proved.

References

[1] Kobayashi S., Nomizu K. Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. 2. M.: Nauka. 1981.
[2] Chen B.-Y. Geometry of submanifolds. N.-Y.: M. Dekker. 1973.
[3] Gray A. Tubes. M.: Mir. 1993.